

STOCHASTIC VOLTERRA EQUATIONS OF NONSCALAR TYPE IN HILBERT SPACE

Karczewska, Anna

Department of Mathematics, University of Zielona Góra, ul. Szafrana 4a, 65-246 Zielona Góra, Poland [A.Karczewska@im.uz.zgora.pl]

Abstract

In the paper stochastic Volterra equations of nonscalar type in Hilbert space are studied. The aim of the paper is to provide some results on stochastic convolution and mild solutions to those Volterra equations. The motivation of the paper comes from a model of aging viscoelastic materials. The pseudo-resolvent approach is used.

Keywords: Stochastic Volterra equation of nonscalar type, pseudo-resolvent, stochastic convolution, mild solution.

1 Introduction

Assume that H is a separable Hilbert space with a scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H$ and a norm $|\cdot|_H$. We are concerned with a stochastic linear Volterra equation of nonscalar type in H of the form

$$X(t) = X_0 + \int_0^t A(t - \tau)X(\tau)d\tau + \int_0^t \Psi(\tau)dW(\tau), \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (1)$$

Let G denote another separable Hilbert space such that $G \xhookrightarrow{d} H$ (G is densely imbedded in H). In (1), $A \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}(G, H))$, where $\mathcal{B}(G, H)$ denotes the space of all bounded linear operators acting from G into H . We assume that W is a cylindrical Wiener process with values in some another Hilbert space U , and Ψ is a stochastic process specified below.

Observe that Volterra equation of so-called scalar type (see (Prüss 1993)) corresponds to the operator $A(t)$ of the form $A(t) = a(t)A$, where $a \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$, and A is a closed linear unbounded operator densely defined in H and $G = (D(A), |\cdot|_A)$, where $D(A)$ denotes the domain of A equipped with the graph norm $|\cdot|_A$ of A .

The setting for (1) when $A(t)$ are bounded seems to cover many abstract treatments of Volterra equations although this is not the most general approach. However, it is very difficult to develop a reasonable theory in very general case even for deterministic equation.

As we have already written, the paper has been inspired by a model of a hereditarily-elastic anisotropic aging body with a straight crack, see (Costabel et al. 2004).

The equation (1) is a stochastic version of the deterministic Volterra equation

$$u(t) = \int_0^t A(t - \tau)u(\tau)d\tau + f(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (2)$$

studied extensively in (Prüss 1993).

Definition 1 A family $(\tilde{S}(t))_{t \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ is called **pseudo-resolvent** for (2) if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (S1) $\tilde{S}(t)$ is strongly continuous in H on $\mathbb{R}_+$, and $\tilde{S}(0) = I$;
- (S2) $U(t) = \int_0^t \tilde{S}(\tau) d\tau$ is leaving G invariant, and $(U(t))_{t \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{B}(G)$ is locally Lipschitz on $\mathbb{R}_+$;
- (S3) the following resolvent equations hold

$$\tilde{S}(t)y = y + \int_0^t A(t-\tau) dU(\tau)y, \quad t \geq 0, \quad y \in G, \quad (3)$$

$$\tilde{S}(t)y = y + \int_0^t \tilde{S}(t-\tau) A(\tau)y d\tau, \quad t \geq 0, \quad y \in G. \quad (4)$$

- Equations (3) and (4) are called the **first**, resp. **second resolvent equation** for (2).
- A pseudo-resolvent $\tilde{S}(t)$ is called **resolvent** for (2) if in addition

- (S4) for $y \in G$, $\tilde{S}(\cdot)y \in G$ a.e. and $\tilde{S}(\cdot)y$ is Bochner-measurable in G on $\mathbb{R}_+$.

Comment Because $A(t) \in \mathcal{B}(G, H)$ the convolution $A \star dU$ in (3) is well-defined though the function $A(\cdot)y$ is not assumed to be continuous. Indeed, for $y \in G$ the function $g(t) := U(t)y$ is locally Lipschitz in G by the condition (S2) and then $g \in BV_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+; G)$. For details, see (Prüss 1993, Section 6).

Let us emphasize that the pseudo-resolvents are always unique. We shall assume in the paper that the equation (1) is **well-posed** in this sense that (1) admits a pseudo-resolvent $\tilde{S}(t)$, $t \geq 0$. Precise definition of well-posedness is given in (Prüss 1993). That definition is a direct extension of well-posedness of Cauchy problems and Volterra equations of scalar type. The lack of well-posedness leads to distribution resolvents, see e.g. (DaPrato and Iannelli 1984).

In sections 2 and 3 we shall use the following **VOLTERRA ASSUMPTIONS** (abbr. (VA)):

1. $A \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathcal{B}(G, H))$;
2. $\tilde{S}(t)$, $t \geq 0$, are pseudo-resolvent operators corresponding to (2).

2 Probabilistic background

We are given a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t), P)$, $t \geq 0$, with normal filtration and a Wiener process W with the positive linear covariance operator Q in U . Assume that W is a cylindrical process, that is $\text{Tr } Q = +\infty$ (if $\text{Tr } Q < +\infty$ then W is a genuine Wiener process). We will need the subspace $U_0 := Q^{1/2}(U)$ of the space U , which endowed with the inner product $\langle u, v \rangle_{U_0} := \langle Q^{-1/2}u, Q^{-1/2}v \rangle_U$ forms a Hilbert space.

A linear, bounded operator B acting from U_0 into H is called a *Hilbert-Schmidt* if $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} |Bu_k|_H^2 < +\infty$, where $\{u_k\} \subset U_0$ is a base in U_0 . The set $L_2(U_0, H)$ of all Hilbert-Schmidt operators from U_0 into H , equipped with the norm $|B|_{L_2(U_0, H)} := (\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} |Bu_k|_H^2)^{1/2}$, is a separable Hilbert space. For abbreviation we shall denote $L_2^0 := L_2(U_0, H)$.

Assume that Ψ belongs to the class of L_2^0 -predictable processes satisfying condition

$$P \left(\int_0^T |\Psi(\tau)|_{L_2^0}^2 d\tau < +\infty \right) = 1.$$

Such processes are called *stochastically integrable* on $[0, T]$ and create a linear space which will be denoted by $\mathcal{N}(0, T; L_2^0)$.

Let $\Phi(t)$, $t \in [0, T]$, be a measurable L_2^0 -valued process. Define the norms

$$\|\Phi\|_t := \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t |\Phi(\tau)|_{L_2^0}^2 d\tau \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left\{ \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \left[\text{Tr}(\Phi(\tau) Q^{\frac{1}{2}}) (\Phi(\tau) Q^{\frac{1}{2}})^* \right] d\tau \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

By $\mathcal{N}^2(0, T; L_2^0)$ we shall denote a Hilbert space of all L_2^0 -predictable processes Φ such that $\|\Phi\|_T < +\infty$.

In the whole paper we shall use the following PROBABILITY ASSUMPTIONS (abbr. (PA)):

1. X_0 is an H -valued, $\mathcal{F}_0$ -measurable random variable;
2. $\Psi \in \mathcal{N}^2(0, T; L_2^0)$, where the interval $[0, T]$ is fixed.

Definition 2 Assume that conditions (VA) and (PA) hold. An H -valued predictable process $X(t)$, $t \in [0, T]$, is said to be a **strong solution** to (1), if X has a version such that $P(X(t) \in G) = 1$ for almost all $t \in [0, T]$, $\int_0^T |A(t - \tau)X(\tau)|_H d\tau < +\infty$, P -a.s. and for any $t \in [0, T]$ the equation (1) holds P -a.s.

Definition 3 Let conditions (VA) and (PA) hold. An H -valued predictable process $X(t)$, $t \in [0, T]$, is said to be a **mild solution** to the stochastic Volterra equation (1), if

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^t |\tilde{S}(t - \tau)\Psi(\tau)|_{L_2^0}^2 d\tau \right) \leq +\infty \quad \text{for } t \leq T \quad (5)$$

and, for arbitrary $t \in [0, T]$,

$$X(t) = \tilde{S}(t)X_0 + \int_0^t \tilde{S}(t - \tau)\Psi(\tau) dW(\tau), \quad (6)$$

where $\tilde{S}(t)$ is the pseudo-resolvent for the equation (2).

Comment The well-posedness of (1) implies existence and uniqueness of the resolvent $\tilde{S}(t)$, $t \geq 0$, and in the consequence, existence and uniqueness of the mild solution to the equation (1).

Proposition 1 Assume that (VA) hold, B is a linear bounded operator acting from U into the space H and

$$\int_0^T |\tilde{S}(\tau)B|_{L_2^0}^2 d\tau = \int_0^T \text{Tr}[\tilde{S}(\tau)BQB^*\tilde{S}^*(\tau)] d\tau < +\infty, \quad (7)$$

where $B^*, \tilde{S}^*(\tau)$ are appropriate adjoint operators.

Then

- (i) the process $\tilde{W}^B := \int_0^t \tilde{S}(t - \tau)B dW(\tau)$ is Gaussian, mean-square continuous on $[0, T]$ and then has a predictable version;

(ii)

$$\text{Cov } \tilde{W}^B(t) = \int_0^t [\tilde{S}(\tau)BQB^*\tilde{S}^*(\tau)] d\tau, \quad t \in [0, T]; \quad (8)$$

(iii) trajectories of the process $\widetilde{W}^B$ are P -a.s. square integrable on $[0, T]$.

Comment Proposition 1 is analogous to the known result obtained in the semigroup case. Gaussianity of the process $\widetilde{W}^B$ follows from the definition and properties of stochastic integral. Part (ii) comes from theory of stochastic integral while (iii) follows from the definition of $\widetilde{W}^B$ and the assumption (7).

Proposition 2 Assume that conditions (VA) hold with operator $A \in W^{1,1}([0, T]; \mathcal{B}(G, H))$. Let X be a strong solution to the equation (1) in the case $\Psi(t) = B$, where $B \in \mathcal{B}(U, H)$ and trajectories of X are integrable w.p. 1 on $[0, T]$. Then, for any function $\xi \in C^1([0, T]; H^*)$ and $t \in [0, T]$, the following formula holds

$$\begin{aligned} \langle X(t), \xi(t) \rangle_H &= \langle X_0, \xi(0) \rangle_H + \int_0^t \langle (\dot{A} \star X)(\tau) + A(0)X(\tau), \xi(\tau) \rangle_H d\tau \\ &+ \int_0^t \langle \xi(\tau), BdW(\tau) \rangle_H + \int_0^t \langle X(\tau), \dot{\xi}(\tau) \rangle_H d\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where dots above A and ξ mean time derivatives and $\star$ means the convolution.

Proof First, we consider functions $\xi(\tau) := \xi_0 \varphi(\tau)$, $\tau \in [0, T]$, where $\xi_0 \in H^*$ and $\varphi \in C^1[0, T]$. Denote $F_{\xi_0}(t) := \langle X(t), \xi_0 \rangle_H$, $t \in [0, T]$.

Using Itô's formula to the process $F_{\xi_0}(t)\varphi(t)$, we obtain

$$d[F_{\xi_0}(t)\varphi(t)] = \varphi(t)dF_{\xi_0}(t) + \dot{\varphi}(t)F_{\xi_0}(t)dt, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (10)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} dF_{\xi_0}(t) &= \left\langle \int_0^t \dot{A}(t-\tau)X(\tau)d\tau + A(0)X(t), \xi_0 \right\rangle_H dt + \langle BdW(t), \xi_0 \rangle_H \\ &= \langle (\dot{A} \star X)(t) + A(0)X(t), \xi_0 \rangle_H dt + \langle BdW(t), \xi_0 \rangle_H. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

From (10) and (11),

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\xi_0}(t)\varphi(t) &= F_{\xi_0}(0)\varphi(0) + \int_0^t \varphi(s) \langle (\dot{A} \star X)(s) + A(0)X(s), \xi_0 \rangle_H ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \langle \varphi(s)BdW(s), \xi_0 \rangle_H + \int_0^t \dot{\varphi}(s) \langle X(s), \xi_0 \rangle_H ds \\ &= \langle X_0, \xi(0) \rangle + \int_0^t \langle (\dot{A} \star X)(s) + A(0)X(s), \xi(s) \rangle_H ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \langle BdW(s), \xi(s) \rangle_H + \int_0^t \langle X(s), \dot{\xi}(s) \rangle_H ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we proved the formula (9) for functions ξ of the form $\xi(s) = \xi_0 \varphi(s)$, $s \in [0, T]$. Because such functions form a dense subspace in $C^1([0, T]; H^*)$, the proposition is true. $\blacksquare$

3 Properties of stochastic convolution

In this section we study mild solution to the equation (1). We use the following notation

$$\widetilde{W}^\Psi(t) := \int_0^t \widetilde{S}(t-\tau)\Psi(\tau) dW(\tau), \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (12)$$

where the condition (5) holds.

Proposition 3 *Assume that $\widetilde{S}(t)$, $t \geq 0$, are the pseudo-resolvent operators to the Volterra equation (2). Then, for arbitrary $\Psi \in \mathcal{N}(0, T; L_2^0)$, the process $\widetilde{W}^\Psi(t)$, $t \geq 0$, given by (12) has a predictable version.*

Proof Proof is analogous to construction of stochastic integral, see e.g. (Liptser and Shiriyayev 1973).

The process $\widetilde{S}(t-\tau)\Psi(\tau)$, $\tau \in [0, T]$, belongs to $\mathcal{N}(0, T; L_2^0)$, because $\Psi \in \mathcal{N}(0, T; L_2^0)$. We may use the following estimate: for arbitrary $a > 0$, $b > 0$ and $t \in [0, T]$,

$$P(|\widetilde{W}^\Psi(t)|_H > a) \leq \frac{b}{a^2} + P\left(\int_0^t |\widetilde{S}(t-\tau)\Psi(\tau)|_{L_2^0}^2 d\tau > b\right). \quad (13)$$

Since pseudo-resolvent operators $\widetilde{S}(t)$, $t \geq 0$, are uniformly bounded on compact intervals, there exists a constant $C > 0$, such that $|\widetilde{S}(t-\tau)\Psi(\tau)|_{L_2^0}^2 \leq C^2 |\Psi(\tau)|_{L_2^0}^2$, $\tau \in [0, T]$.

Then (13) reads

$$P(|\widetilde{W}^\Psi(t)|_H > a) \leq \frac{b}{a^2} + P\left(\int_0^t |\Psi(\tau)|_{L_2^0}^2 d\tau > \frac{b}{C^2}\right). \quad (14)$$

We prove predictability of the process $\widetilde{W}^\Psi$ in two steps. In the first step Ψ is an elementary process, so the process $\widetilde{W}^\Psi$ has a predictable version by Proposition 1, part (i).

In the second step $\Psi \in \mathcal{N}(0, T; L_2^0)$. There exists a sequence (Ψ_n) of elementary processes that for arbitrary $c > 0$,

$$P\left(\int_0^T |\Psi(\tau) - \Psi_n(\tau)|_{L_2^0}^2 d\tau > c\right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0. \quad (15)$$

Because, by the previous part of the proof, the sequence (W_n^Ψ) converges in probability, it has subsequence converging almost surely. This fact implies the predictability of $\widetilde{W}^\Psi(t)$, $t \in [0, T]$. ■

Proposition 4 *Assume that $\Psi \in \mathcal{N}^2(0, T; L_2^0)$. Then the process $\widetilde{W}^\Psi(t)$, $t \geq 0$, defined by (12) has square integrable trajectories.*

Proof From Fubini's theorem and boundness of operators $\widetilde{S}(t)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \left| \int_0^t \widetilde{S}(t-\tau)\Psi(\tau) dW(\tau) \right|_H^2 dt &= \int_0^T \left[\mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^t \widetilde{S}(t-\tau)\Psi(\tau) dW(\tau) \right|_H^2 \right] dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_0^t |\widetilde{S}(t-\tau)\Psi(\tau)|_{L_2^0}^2 d\tau dt \leq M \int_0^T \int_0^t |\Psi(\tau)|_{L_2^0}^2 d\tau dt < +\infty, \quad t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

■

Theorem 1 Assume that (VA) and (PA) hold with $A \in W^{1,1}([0, T], \mathcal{B}(G, H))$, and X is an H -valued predictable process. Let $S(t)$ be a weak analytic resolvent for (1). Then strong solution to (1) is a mild solution, that is

$$X(t) = X_0 + \widetilde{W}^\Psi(t) \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (16)$$

Proof By Proposition 2, for any $\xi \in C^1([0, T], H^*)$ and $t \in [0, T]$, the following equation holds

$$\begin{aligned} \langle X(t), \xi(t) \rangle_H &= \langle X_0, \xi(0) \rangle_H + \int_0^t \langle (\dot{A} \star X)(\tau) + A(0)X(\tau), \xi(\tau) \rangle_H d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \langle \Psi(\tau) dW(\tau), \xi(\tau) \rangle_H + \int_0^t \langle X(\tau), \dot{\xi}(\tau) \rangle_H d\tau, \quad \text{P - a.s.} \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Let us take $\xi(\tau) := \widetilde{S}^*(t - \tau)\zeta$, for $\zeta \in H^*$, $\tau \in [0, t]$.

Now, the equation (17) may be written like

$$\begin{aligned} \langle X(t), \widetilde{S}^*(0)\zeta \rangle_H &= \langle X_0, \widetilde{S}^*(t)\zeta \rangle_H + \int_0^t \langle (\dot{A} \star X)(\tau) + A(0)X(\tau), \widetilde{S}^*(t - \tau)\zeta \rangle_H d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \langle \Psi(\tau) dW(\tau), \widetilde{S}^*(t - \tau)\zeta \rangle_H + \int_0^t \langle X(\tau), (\widetilde{S}^*(t - \tau)\zeta)' \rangle_H d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

where derivative $()'$ in the last term is taken over τ .

Since $\widetilde{S}^*(0) = I$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle X(t), \zeta \rangle_H &= \langle S(t)X_0, \zeta \rangle_H + \int_0^t \langle \widetilde{S}(t - \tau) \left[\int_0^\tau \dot{A}(\tau - \sigma)X(\sigma) d\sigma + A(0)X(\tau) \right], \zeta \rangle_H d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \langle \widetilde{S}(t - \tau)\Psi(\tau) dW(\tau), \zeta \rangle_H + \int_0^t \langle \widetilde{S}(t - \tau)X(\tau), \zeta \rangle_H d\tau \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

for any $\zeta \in H^*$.

Let us analyze the right hand side of (18). From the properties of convolution and (S3),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \widetilde{S}(t - \tau)X(\tau) d\tau &= - \int_0^t \dot{\widetilde{S}}(\tau)X(t - \tau) d\tau = - \int_0^t \left[\int_0^\tau A(\tau - s) dU(s) \right]' X(t - \tau) d\tau \\ &= - \int_0^t \left[\int_0^\tau \dot{A}(\tau - s) dU(s) + A(0)\widetilde{S}(\tau) \right] X(t - \tau) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\int_0^t A(0)\widetilde{S}(t - \tau)X(\tau) d\tau = \int_0^t A(0)\widetilde{S}(\tau)X(t - \tau) d\tau$$

and, from (S2),

$$\int_0^\tau \dot{A}(\tau - s) dU(s) = \int_0^\tau \dot{A}(\tau - s)U'(s) ds = \int_0^\tau \dot{A}(\tau - s)\widetilde{S}(s) ds.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t \widetilde{S}(t - \tau) \left[\int_0^\tau \dot{A}(\tau - \sigma)X(\sigma) d\sigma \right] d\tau = \int_0^t \widetilde{S}(t - \tau)(\dot{A} \star X)(\tau) d\tau = \\ &= (\widetilde{S} \star (\dot{A} \star X)(\tau))(t) = ((\widetilde{S} \star \dot{A})(\tau) \star X)(t) = \\ &= \int_0^t (\widetilde{S} \star \dot{A})(\tau)X(t - \tau) d\tau = \int_0^t \left[\int_0^\tau \widetilde{S}(\tau) \dot{A}(\tau - s) ds \right] X(t - \tau) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

So, the right hand side of (18) reduces to $\int_0^t \langle \tilde{S}(t-\tau)\Psi(\tau)dW(\tau), \zeta \rangle_H$, what means that (16) holds. $\blacksquare$

Theorem 2 Assume that $A \in L_{\text{loc}}^1([0, T]; \mathcal{B}(G, H))$ and $\tilde{S}(t)$, $t \geq 0$, is a resolvent to the equation (2). If $\Psi \in \mathcal{N}^2(0, T; L_2^0)$, then the stochastic convolution $\widetilde{W}^\Psi$ fulfills the following equation

$$\widetilde{W}^\Psi(t) = \int_0^t A(t-\tau) \widetilde{W}^\Psi(\tau) d\tau + \int_0^t \Psi(\tau) dW(\tau). \quad (19)$$

Proof Let us notice that the process $\widetilde{W}^\Psi$ has integrable trajectories. Then, from Dirichlet's formula and stochastic Fubini's theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t A(t-\tau) \widetilde{W}^\Psi(\tau) d\tau &= \int_0^t A(t-\tau) \int_0^\tau \tilde{S}(\tau-\sigma) \Psi(\sigma) dW(\sigma) d\tau = \\ &= \int_0^t \left[\int_\sigma^t A(t-\tau) \tilde{S}(\tau-\sigma) d\tau \right] \Psi(\sigma) dW(\sigma) = \\ (z := \tau - \sigma) \quad &= \int_0^t \left[\int_0^{t-\sigma} A(t-\sigma-z) \tilde{S}(z) dz \right] \Psi(\sigma) dW(\sigma) = \\ = \int_0^t (A \star \tilde{S})(t-\sigma) \Psi(\sigma) dW(\sigma) &= \int_0^t [\tilde{S}(t-\sigma) - I] \Psi(\sigma) dW(\sigma) = \\ = \int_0^t \tilde{S}(t-\sigma) \Psi(\sigma) dW(\sigma) - \int_0^t \Psi(\sigma) dW(\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

What gives the theorem. $\blacksquare$

4 Case $A(t) = a(t)A$

In this section we consider the case when $A(t) = a(t)A$ and, in contrary to the previous assumptions, A is a closed **unbounded** operator in H with a dense domain $D(A)$. Then the equation (1) has the form

$$X(t) = X_0 + \int_0^t a(t-\tau) A X(\tau) d\tau + \int_0^t \Psi(\tau) dW(\tau), \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (20)$$

For details, precise setting and several results concerning (20) we refer to (Karczewska, 2005). Because the results analogous to those from sections 2 and 3 are formulated there, we do not repeat them here.

We denote

$$W^\Psi(t) := \int_0^t S(t-\tau) \Psi(\tau) dW(\tau), \quad t \in [0, T];$$

now, the operators $S(t)$, $t \geq 0$, become resolvents (see (Prüss 1993) for definition and properties).

In this case, the equality (19) reads

$$W^\Psi(t) = \int_0^t a(t-\tau) A W^\Psi(\tau) d\tau + \int_0^t \Psi(\tau) dW(\tau). \quad (21)$$

Now, although the equation (20) is formally simpler than (1), the case is interesting because A is unbounded. In consequence, we are not able to obtain the equality (21) directly like in Theorem 2.

Proposition 5 *If $\Psi \in \mathcal{N}^2(0, T; L_2^0)$ and $\Psi(\cdot, \cdot)(U_0) \subset D(A)$, P -a.s., then the stochastic convolution W^Ψ fulfills the equation*

$$\langle W^\Psi(t), \xi \rangle_H = \int_0^t \langle a(t-\tau)W^\Psi(\tau), A^*\xi \rangle_H + \int_0^t \langle \xi, \Psi(\tau)dW(\tau) \rangle_H, \quad P - a.s.,$$

for any $t \in [0, T]$ and $\xi \in D(A^*)$.

Comment *Proposition 5 states that in case $A(t) = a(t)A$, a mild solution to (20) is a weak solution to (20).*

This is well-known (see, e.g. (Prüss 1993)) that the scalar equation corresponding to (20) is

$$s(t) + \mu(a \star s)(t) = 1. \quad (22)$$

Definition 4 *We say that function $a \in L^1(0, T)$ is completely positive on $[0, T]$ if for any $\mu \geq 0$, the solution to (22) satisfies $s(t) \geq 0$ on $[0, T]$.*

Proposition 6 (Clemént and Nohel 1979) *Assume that $a \in L^1(0, T)$ and a is nonnegative and nonincreasing on $[0, T]$. Then a is completely positive on $[0, T]$.*

Comment *If $a \in L^1(0, T)$ and is completely monotonic on $[0, T]$, i.e. $(-1)^k a^{(k)}(t) \geq 0$, $t \in (0, T)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$, then a is completely positive on $[0, T]$.*

Proposition 7 *Assume that A is m -accretive operator and function a is completely positive on $[0, T]$. If Ψ and $A\Psi$ belong to $\mathcal{N}^2(0, T; L_2^0)$ and in addition $\Psi(\cdot, \cdot)(U_0) \subset D(A)$, P -a.s., then the equality (21) holds.*

Proof The operator A is m -accretive if and only if it generates the linear strongly continuous semigroup of contractions, see e.g. (Zheng 2004). So, we may use results due to (Clemént and Nohel 1979).

Denote by $A_\lambda := \frac{1}{\lambda}(I - J_\lambda)$, where $J_\lambda = (I + \lambda A)^{-1}$, $\lambda \geq 0$, the Yosida approximation of the operator A . By $S_\lambda(t)$, $t \geq 0$, we denote the resolvent operators corresponding to the Volterra equation (20) with the operator A_λ instead of the operator A . The paper (Clemént and Nohel 1979) provides the convergence $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0+} S_\lambda(t)x = S(t)x$ for $t \in [0, T]$ and $x \in D(A)$.

Let us recall, that the formula (21) holds for any bounded operator A . Then it holds for operators A_λ , too:

$$W_\lambda^\Psi(t) = \int_0^t A_\lambda W_\lambda^\Psi(\tau) d\tau + \int_0^t \Psi(\tau) dW(\tau),$$

where

$$W_\lambda^\Psi(t) := \int_0^t S_\lambda(t-\tau) \Psi(\tau) dW(\tau).$$

Because $A_\lambda x = A J_\lambda x$ for $x \in D(A)$, then

$$A_\lambda W_\lambda^\Psi(t) = A_\lambda \int_0^t S_\lambda(t-\tau) \Psi(\tau) dW(\tau) = J_\lambda \int_0^t S_\lambda(t-\tau) A \Psi(\tau) dW(\tau).$$

Now, from the properties of stochastic integral and by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we obtain

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} |W_\lambda^\Psi(t) - W^\Psi(t)|_H^2 = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} |A_\lambda W_\lambda^\Psi(t) - A W^\Psi(t)|_H^2 = 0$$

what gives the required result. ■

Definition 5 Suppose $S(t)$, $t \geq 0$, is a resolvent for (20). $S(t)$ is called **exponentially bounded** if there are constants $M \geq 1$ and $w \in \mathbb{R}$ that $\|S(t)\| \leq M e^{wt}$ for all $t \geq 0$.

In contrary to the case of semigroups, resolvents (if they exist) need not to be exponentially bounded even if the kernel a belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Existence of such resolvents is given, e.g. by Theorem 1.3 in (Prüss 1993). An important class of kernels providing exponentially bounded resolvent are $a(t) = t^{\alpha-1}/\Gamma(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ or the class of completely monotonic functions.

Proposition 8 Assume that A is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup which is exponentially bounded and the kernel a is a completely positive function. If Ψ and $A\Psi$ belong to $\mathcal{N}^2(0, T; L_2^0)$ and in addition $\Psi(\cdot, \cdot)(U_0) \subset D(A)$, P -a.s., then the equality (21) holds.

The proof bases on the following auxiliary result.

Lemma 1 (Karczewska and Lizama 2005) Let A be the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 -semigroup $T(t)$, $t \geq 0$, satisfying $\|T(t)\| \leq M e^{\omega t}$ with $M \geq 1$, $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ and suppose that $a \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is a completely positive function. Then (A, a) admits an exponentially bounded resolvent $S(t)$. Moreover, there exists bounded operators A_n , such that (A_n, a) admits resolvent family $S_n(t)$ satisfying $\|S_n(t)\| \leq M e^{\omega_0 t}$, $\omega_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, for all $t \geq 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $S_n(t)x \rightarrow S(t)x$ for all $x \in X$, $t \geq 0$. Moreover, the convergence is uniform in t on every compact subset of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

References

- [1] Costabel M., Dauge M., Nazarov S.A., Sokołowski J. (2004) Asymptotics near crack tips in hereditarily-elastic anisotropic aging two dimensional body, preprint IECN, Nancy.
- [2] Clément Ph., Nohel J.A. (1979) Abstract linear and nonlinear Volterra equations preserving positivity, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* Vol. 10, 365-388.
- [3] Da Prato G., Iannelli M. (1984) Distribution resolvents for Volterra equations in Banach space, *J. Int. Eqs.* Vol. 6, 93-103.
- [4] Karczewska A., Properties of convolutions arising in stochastic Volterra equations (2005) submitted. Preprint <http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/math.PR/0410510>
- [5] Karczewska A., Lizama C., Mild solutions and Hölder regularity for stochastic Volterra equations, (2005) submitted.

- [6] Liptser R.S., Shirayev A.N. (1973) *Statistics of random processes.II* Applications of Mathematics, Vol. 6, Springer, New York.
- [7] Prüss J. (1993) *Evolutionary integral equations and applications*, Birkhäuser, Basel.
- [8] Zheng S. (2004) *Nonlinear evolution equations*, Chapman & Hall, Boca Raton.